UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Melvin Atkins	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C07-05524 SI
V. City of Petaluma, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and co following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) (Note: Parties who believe that an early settleme appreciably more likely to meet their needs than ADR phone conference and may not file this for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16 Private Process: Private ADR (please identify processes)	(ADR L.R. 5) Int conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an m. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 1-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The decreferring the case to an ADR production) other requested deadline	,
Dated: 1/18/08	Charles A. Bonner Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 1/18/08	Tricia L. Hynes Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
Mediation
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session
90 days from the date of this order.
other

IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated:	
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE